

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/506,676	02/17/2000	David E. Kronk	FLO1372-026	6566	
8698	7590 08/20/2003				
STANDLEY & GILCREST LLP			EXAMINER		
495 METRO SUITE 210 DUBLIN, OH	PLACE SOUTH		. WON, YOUNG N		
DOBLIN, OF	1 43017		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2155	1:0	
			DATE MAILED: 08/20/2003	10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4"			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
_		09/506,676	KRONK, DAVID E.
0	ffice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Young N Won	2155
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communication app oly	ears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address
THE MAILI - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If the period if NO period i	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. For reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period voly within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, elived by the Office later than three months after the mailing them adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum o vill apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to becon	ny a reply be timely filed  f thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  The ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
_	ponsive to communication(s) filed on 23 J	une 2003	
•		is action is non-final.	
· <u> </u>	ce this application is in condition for allowa		matters, prospection as to the morits is
•	ed in accordance with the practice under		
4)⊠ Clain	n(s) 21-31 is/are pending in the applicatio	<b>n.</b>	
4a) O	f the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
5)☐ Clain	n(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Clain	n(s) <u>21-31</u> is/are rejected.		
7)∐ Clain	n(s) is/are objected to.		
8)□ Clain	n(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Pa	apers		•
9)⊡ The s <sub>l</sub>	pecification is objected to by the Examine	·.	
10)☐ The di	rawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)□ objected to l	by the Examiner.
	licant may not request that any objection to the		
	roposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.
	proved, corrected drawings are required in rep	-	
•	ath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
Priority under	35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)☐ Ackn	owledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)∐ All	b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received i	n Application No
3. <u>□</u> * See th	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur e attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	)).
14)∏ Acknow	wledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) 🗌 T	he translation of the foreign language prowelled	visional application ha	s been received.
Attachment(s)		-	
2) Notice of Dra 3) Information [	ferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark FOL-326 (Rev. 04-0		ion Summary	Part of Paper No. 10

Art Unit: 2155

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. All previous claims 1-20 have been cancelled and new claims 21-31 is pending in this action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 21-26 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Smith et al. (US 6192282 B1).

As per claims 21 and 29, Smith teaches a system (see abstract) and a method (see col.2, lines 47-49) for controlling a plurality of environmental maintenance equipment (see

Art Unit: 2155

Fig.1 and col.10, lines 30-35) based on an open client-server architecture (see col.2, lines 62-65), comprising: at least one client or user interface for providing messages for controlling the plurality of environmental maintenance equipment, receiving responses containing information about the plurality of environmental maintenance equipment (see col.3, lines 51-57); at least one client or user interface messaging control, each associated with a respective one of the at least one client or user interface (see col.3, lines 54-57; col.44, lines 48-53; and Fig.2B, #13); interface control servers, each for controlling a respective one of the plurality of environmental maintenance equipment (see col.46, lines 18-46); and interface control server messaging controls, each associated with a respective one of the interface control servers (see col.3, lines 14-17 and Fig.1, #13), the interface control server messaging controls and the client or user interface messaging controls exchanging messages and communicating with each other (see col.15, line 64 to col.16, line 12) using a common messaging control protocol for controlling the plurality of environmental maintenance equipment (see col.64, lines 1-5).

As per claims 22 and 30, Smith further teaches wherein the common messaging control protocol is transmission control protocol/Internet protocol (TCP/IP) (see col.20, lines 35-44).

As per claims 23 and 31, Smith further teaches wherein the common messaging control protocol is text messaging (see col.48, lines 29-32).

As per claim 24, Smith further teaches wherein each interface control servers communicate with a respective interface control server messaging control using interprocessing (see col.3, line 65) calls/events (see col.4, lines 3-11; col.5, lines 13-20 col.16, lines 15-26 & 24-26; and 45, lines 56-59).

Art Unit: 2155

As per claim 25, Smith further teaches wherein the at least one client or user interface, the at least one client or user interface messaging control, the interface control servers, interface control server messaging controls, or a combination thereof, form part of different domains including either a personal computer (PC), a local area network (LAN), the world wide web (WWW), or a combination thereof (see col.20, lines 45-54 and Fig.2A to Fig.3).

As per claim 26, Smith further teaches wherein the plurality of environmental maintenance equipment includes an irrigation system, a pump station, a weather station or other environmental maintenance equipment (see Fig.1 and col.10, lines 30-35).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al. (US 6192282 B1) in view of Gray et al. (US 5568402 A).

As per claim 27, Smith does not explicitly teach wherein the client or user interface includes a system control and data acquisition (SCADA) having a messaging control arranged therein. Gray teaches of client or user interface includes a system control and data acquisition (SCADA) having a messaging control arranged therein (see). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ

Art Unit: 2155

the teachings of Gray within the system of Smith by implementing (SCADA) having a messaging control arranged therein within a system for controlling a plurality of environmental maintenance equipment based on an open client-server architecture because Smith teaches that the invention may be implemented in "a centralized processing environment or a distributed processing environment" (see Smith: col.2, lines 50-51) and Gray teaches that "In a SCADA system, data respectively gathered by a plurality of remote stations is supplied to a master station and supervisory control is performed on the basis of this data" (see Gray: col.1, lines 13-19). Therefore, since Smith teaches that in a distributed system, the automated subsystems are under the control of a local controller and a central controller (see Smith: col.44, lines 48-53), one of ordinary skill in the art would implement SCADA.

As per claim 28, Smith does not explicitly teach wherein the client or user interface includes one or more site managers, each having a messaging control arranged therein. Gray teaches of wherein the client or user interface includes one or more site managers, each having a messaging control arranged therein (see). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the teachings of Gray within the system of Smith by implementing site managers, each having a messaging control arranged therein within a system for controlling a plurality of environmental maintenance equipment based on an open client-server architecture because Gray teaches that site manager within a local device "controls the starting and maintaining the transfer of data within the communications channel by the channel drivers" (see Gray: col.3, lines 54-56) and Smith teaches that his system employs plurality of channels in which "one or more communication channels must be selected to serve as "buses" to allow communication

Art Unit: 2155

between the automated subsystems (see col.44, lines 48-51), therefore one of ordinary skill in the art would employ site managers for controlling communication of messages.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Young N Won whose telephone number is 703-605-4241. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th: 8AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain T Alam can be reached on 703-308-6662. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Young N Won

August 18, 2003

HOSAIN T. ALAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER